## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 7836-90959-P	FOR FURTHER ACTION					
International application No. PCT/US03/36256	International filing date (day/mont 13 November 2003 (13.11.2003)	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 13 December 2002 (13.12.2002)			
Applicant ANDREW CORPORATION						
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of sheets.  It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.						
Basis of the Report     a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
	contained in the international application in written form.					
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
=	nis Authority in written form.	orm				
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the						
international application as filed has been furnished.						
the statement that the information been furnished.	the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.					
2. Certain claims were found	Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).					
3. Unity of invention is lacki	ng (See Box II).					
4. With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.						
	d by this Authority to read as follow	s:				
5. With regard to the abstract,						
the text is approved as subi			. D. W. The spelicent man			
the text has been establishe within one month from the	d, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this date of mailing of this international	s Authority search repo	as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, rt, submit comments to this Authority.			
6. The figure of the drawings to be pu	blished with the abstract is Figure N	Io. <u>1</u>	<u>г</u>			
	as suggested by the applicant. None of the figures					
· =	because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.					
because this figure better c	haracterizes the invention.					

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/36256

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)					
This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:					
1. Claim Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:					
Claim Nos.:  because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:					
3. Claim Nos.:					
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).					
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)					
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Please See Continuation Sheet					
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:					
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-31 and 38-92					
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.					

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/36256

	CIPICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER					
	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER					
IPC(7) : H01Q 21/26 US CL : 343/795, 797						
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
B. FIELDS SEARCHED						
Minimum doc	umentation searched (classification system followed by	classification symbols)				
U.S. : 34	3/795, 797, 815, 817	• ,				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	extent that such documents are included in	the fields searched			
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched						
Electronic dat	a base consulted during the international search (name	of data base and, where practicable, sear	ch terms usea)			
East						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
C. DOCU	JMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap		Relevant to claim No.			
Х	US 4,115,778 A (SNOW) 19 September 1978, see fig	g. 3.	1-6, 8-9, 12, 16-17, 38-43			
			30-43			
Y			7, 10-11, 13-15, 18-31,			
			44-92			
Y	US 3,680,135 A (BOYER) 25 July 1972, see fig. 7.		7, 10-11, 13-15, 18-31,			
			44-92			
Y	US 6,333,720 B1 (GOTTL et al.) 25 December 2001	, see fig. 4.	48-92			
		•				
		See patent family annex.				
	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.					
* S	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the int date and not in conflict with the appli	cation but cited to understand the			
"A" documen	t defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be	principle or theory underlying the inv	rention			
•	ilar relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the	claimed invention cannot be			
"E" earlier ap	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to the considered alone when the document is taken alone	ered to involve an inventive step			
"L" documen	t which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to		alaimed invention cannot be			
establish specified	the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive ste	p when the document is			
		combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art				
"O" documen	t referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	-				
	t published prior to the international filing date but later than the	"&" document member of the same patent	family			
	late claimed	Data of mailing of the international sea	rch renort			
Date of the a	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sear	1 2005			
2 5 JAN 2005  15 January 2005 (15.01.2005)						
Name and m	ailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer	1100			
Ma	Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  MinSun Harvey					
Commissioner for Patents						
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450						
Facsimile No	o. (703) 305-3230					

	CT L D CYT	DEDODE
INTERNATIONAL	SEARCH	KEPOKI

PCT/US03/36256

### BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s) 1-31 and 38-92, drawn to a dipole antenna element.

Group II, claim(s) 32-37, drawn to an insulating retaining element for a dipole element.

Group III, claim(s) 93-106, drawn to a coaxial to microstrip transition.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Invention III is a coaxial to microstrip transition whose concept concerns the guided propagation of energy and technical features in relation thereto, Invention II is a retaining element whose concept concerns insulating electrical elements and the technical features required for such, and Invention I is an antenna whose concept concerns radiating energy and the radiator shapes that constitute its technical features.

### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

# The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
  - "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

### "Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

### It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.